

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
. 09/674,469		02/26/2001	Andrew John Cardno	6813	1243	
25763	7590	09/29/2004		EXAMINER		
		TNEY LLP	OUELLETTE, JONATHAN P			
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 50 SOUTH SIXTH STREET				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPO	OLIS, M	IN 55402-1498		3629		
				DATE MAILED: 09/29/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	09/674,469	CARDNO ET AL.	16					
viavion, viauon	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Jonathan Ouellette	3629						
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -								
THE REPLY FILED 10 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin is FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the approper of the fee. The appropriate the fee.	on. See MPEP  oprlate extension oprlate extension					
fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	e later than three months after the mai FR 1.704(b).	ling date of the final reje	Office action; or ction, even if					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:								
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
<ul> <li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the					
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claim	S.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s): would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).								
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.								
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	11	1. LL						
Claim(s) allowed:		S. WEISS						
Claim(s) objected to:		ATENT EXAMINER						
Claim(s) rejected: 47,48,50-64,66-80,82-91 and 93-1		CENTER 3600						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.						
☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).								
10. Other:	,,	<del></del>						

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant has requested that each web page for the sited prior art of ESRI be treated as a separate document. However the examiner feels that a separation of pages will be confusing; because, the pages are meant to serve as an example of material located on the website at a given point in time. Furthermore, the entire set of data contained in the website prior to the priority date of the invention is considered relevent as prior art. The applicant has also stated that the document titled "See Your Business From a New perspective," (pgs.6-9) does not contain a verified publication date; however, at the end of the document (pg.9) is a copyright date of 1997.

Finally, the applicant states that the prior art of ESRI fails to disclose a contour generator arranged to generate or display one or more contour lines representing the surface in which each data point is displayed as a local maximum; a contour generator arranged to generate and display a contoured representation, such that each data point is displayed as a local maximum, a contour generator that displays one or more contour lines at least partially around each data point, each contour line representing data values that are less than the data value of the data point around which the contour line is displayed

However, ESRI discloses several ways to graphically represent busines data (data visualization) - to include contour lines (bottom picture, pg.6), in which each point is displayed a local maximum, used in analysis of demographic information, site selection, and/or marketing (pg.12-15). The Examiner originally presented pages from the website which explained the GIS system and several industrial uses of such technology. Unfortuanelty, not all of the exhibits graphically displaying the system were available through the internet archive. However, if necessary the Examiner can provide graphical exhibits for the same system available at later archived pages. The Examiner suggested that the applicant review the archived pages for www.esri.com in entirety (available at www.archive.org), before replying to the office action.